Proof. Let $P$ be a $d$-dimensional polytope that is $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-neighborly. Suppose for a contradiction that $P$ has a subset $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d+2}\right\}$ consisting of $d+2$ vertices. Then, $V$ is affinely dependent, so there is $I$ such that $v_{I} \in V$ and $v_{I}=\sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \lambda_{i} v_{i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \lambda_{i}=1$. Hence, $0=v_{I}-\sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \lambda_{i} v_{i}=v_{I}-\lambda_{1} v_{1}-\ldots \lambda_{d+2} v_{d+2}$ and $1-\lambda_{1}-\ldots \lambda_{d+2}=0$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \lambda_{i}=1$, not all $\lambda_{i}$ are zero. Let $\lambda_{I}^{\prime}=1-\lambda_{I}$. Then, $\lambda_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{I}^{\prime} v_{I}+\ldots \lambda_{d+2} v_{d+2}=0$ with $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{I}^{\prime}+\cdots+\lambda_{d+2}=0$ and not all $\lambda_{i}$ are zero. Hence, there are nonzero $\lambda_{j}$ and $\lambda_{k}$ with opposite signs. Relabeling the $v_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$ if necessary, let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be nonnegative (i.e $\geq 0$ ) and $\lambda_{n+1} \ldots \lambda_{d+2}$ be nonpositive (i.e. $\leq 0$ ). Since $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+1}+\ldots \lambda_{d+2}=0$, $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=-\lambda_{n+1}+\cdots+\lambda_{d+2}$. Let $\Lambda=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}$. Then, $\Lambda>0$ because at least one of $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ is nonzero. Hence, $1=\frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i=n+1}^{d+2} \lambda_{i}$, so $v=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\Lambda} v_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{d+2}\left(-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\Lambda} v_{i}\right)$ is a convex combination of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and a convex combination of $v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{d+2}$, so $v \in \operatorname{conv}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ and $v \in \operatorname{conv}\left(v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{d+2}\right)$, implying $v \in$ $\operatorname{conv}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{d+2}\right)$. Let $V_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{d+2}\right\}$. Then, $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=V$ and $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \neq \emptyset$, and $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{conv}\left(V_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\emptyset$, $|V|=\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|$, so renaming the sets if necessary, let $\left|V_{1}\right| \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor+1$. Since $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{1}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$, every hyperplane $H$ that contains $V_{1}$ and does not contain points in the interior of $P$, contains points from $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{2}\right)$, so $H$ also contains at least one vertex from $V_{2}$. Then, $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{1}\right)$ has vertices that are not in $V_{1}$, so $\operatorname{conv}\left(V_{1}\right)$ does not define a face consisting of $\left|V_{1}\right|$ vertices, implying that $P$ is not $\left|V_{1}\right|$-neighborly, which is a contradiction to $P$ being $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$-neighborly. Hence, $P$ has less than $d+2$ vertices. Since $P$ is $d$-dimensional, $P$ has $d+1$ vertices, so $P$ is a simplex. Therefore, if a $d$-dimensional polytope is $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{d}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$ - neighborly, then it is a simplex.

