## Problem 4

Note that we are excluding the empty set from this bijection. Suppose  $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $b_1 = min\{a_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$  and for k > 1, suppose that  $\{a_i : a_i > b_{k-1}, 1 \le i \le n\} \neq \emptyset$ , then let  $b_k = min\{a_i : a_i > b_{k-1}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Then  $\exists s \le n$ , such that s is the maximal number such that  $\{a_i : a_i > b_{s-1}, 1 \le i \le n\} \neq \emptyset$ . So for  $1 \le k \le s$ , let  $C_k = \{i : a_i = b_k\}$ . Then the face in  $\prod_{n=1}$  that maximizes a is completely dependent on the sets  $C_1, ..., C_s$ .

*Proof:* Let F be the face of  $\Pi_{n-1}$  that maximizes a, and let  $v = (v_1, ..., v_n)$  be a vertex of F. Let  $|C_i| = c_i$  for all i. Then we will show that  $\forall j \in C_1, v_j \in \{1, 2, ..., c_1\}$ . Suppose  $v_j \notin \{1, 2, ..., c_1\}$ , then  $v_j > c_1$  and  $\exists k \notin C_1$  with  $v_k \in \{1, 2, ..., c_1\}$ . Let  $w = (w_1, ..., w_n)$  be the vertex of P that is identical to v except the  $j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  coordinate is switched. In other words,  $w_j = v_k, w_k = v_j$  and  $w_i = v_i$  for  $i \neq j, k$ . Then

$$a \cdot w - a \cdot v = a_k(w_k - v_k) + a_j(w_j - v_j) = a_k(v_j - v_k) + a_j(v_k - v_j) = (v_j - v_k)(a_k - a_j) > 0,$$

since  $v_i > v_k$  and  $a_k > a_j$ . Thus,  $a \cdot w > a \cdot v$  so v does not maximize a. A contradiction.

Similarly, now that we have shown  $j \in C_1$ , implies  $v_j \in \{1, 2, ..., c_1\}$ , the same proof will give us  $j \in C_2$  implies  $v_j \in \{c_1 + 1, c_1 + 2, ..., c_1 + c_2\}$ , and more generally  $j \in C_i$  implies  $v_j \in \{c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + c_i\}$ . It is also clear that if u is another vertex that satisfies  $j \in C_i$  implies  $u_j \in \{c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 2, ..., c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + c_i\}$ , then  $a \cdot u = a \cdot v$ . So u maximizes a. Thus, u is a vertex in F if and only if  $j \in C_i$  implies  $u_j \in \{c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + c_i\}$ .

So we have a map  $F \mapsto [C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$  where  $[C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$  is an ordered partition of [n]. Call this map  $\phi$ , we want to show that  $\phi$  is bijective. Suppose  $\phi(F) = \phi(G) = [C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$ , then: v is a vertex of  $F \Leftrightarrow j \in C_i$  implies  $v_j \in \{c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 1, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + 2, ..., c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_{i-1} + c_i\} \Leftrightarrow v$  is a vertex of G. So  $\phi$  is injective.

Now let  $[D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$  be an ordered partition of [n]. Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where if  $j \in D_i$  then  $x_j = i$ . Now there exist a face, F, that maximizes x. Constructing  $C_1, ..., C_s$  for F (as we did in the first paragraph of this problem), we see trivially that r = s, and  $D_i = C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Thus,  $\phi(F) = [D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$ . We still need to show that F is not the empty set. Let  $|D_i| = d_i$ . Let  $\varphi_i$  be any bijection between  $D_i$  and  $\{d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + 1, d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + 2, ..., d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + d_i\}$ . Let  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that if  $j \in D_i$  then  $y_j = \varphi_i(j)$ . Then y permutes the elements of [n], so y is a vertex of  $\prod_{n=1}$ . Also,  $j \in D_i$  implies  $y_j \in \{d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + 1, d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + 2, ..., d_1 + d_2 + ... + d_{i-1} + d_i\}$ . So y maximizes x. Hence F is not empty, and so  $\phi$  is surjective.

Finally, we want to show that is  $F \mapsto [C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$  then  $s = n - \dim(F)$ . We will call  $[D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$  a refinement of  $[C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$ , if  $\forall i, \exists j$  such that  $D_i \subseteq C_j$  and if  $D_i \subseteq C_j$  and  $D_{i'} \subseteq C_{j'}$  then i < i' implies that  $j \leq j'$ . Now suppose F and G are faces with  $\phi(F) = [C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$  and  $\phi(G) = [D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$  and  $[D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$  is a refinement of  $[C_1][C_2]...[C_s]$ . Then, there exists  $0 = n_0 < n_1 < ... < n_s = n$  such that

$$C_i = \bigcup_{j=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} D_j.$$

Let  $|D_i| = d_i$  and  $|C_i| = c_i$  and let v be a vertex of G. Then  $j \in D_i$  implies  $v_j \in \{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{i-1} + 1, d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{i-1} + 2, \dots, d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{i-1} + d_i\}$ , and  $n_{k-1} + 1 \le i \le n_k$  for some

 $1 \leq k \leq s$ . This implies that  $v_i \in \{n_{k-1}+1, n_{k-1}+2, \dots, n_k\}$ . So v is a vertex of F. Thus  $G \subset F$ . Since  $\phi$  is injective, we know that  $F \neq G$  (assuming  $[C_1][C_2]...[C_s] \neq [D_1][D_2]...[D_r]$ ) so G is a subface of F. However, if  $\mathfrak{C}_k$  is a ordered partition of [n] into n-k parts, then there exists ordered partitions of [n],  $\mathfrak{C}_0, \mathfrak{C}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_{k-1}, \mathfrak{C}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_{n-1}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  partitions [n] into n-i parts and  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  is a refinement of  $\mathfrak{C}_{i-1}$ . Suppose  $\forall i, F_i$  is a face with  $\phi(F_i) = \mathfrak{C}_{n-i}$ . Then we have  $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \ldots \subset F_n$ . However, since this is a chain of n pairwise disjoint faces, none of which are the empty set, then this implies that  $dim(F_i) = i$ . So our proof is complete.