3. (a) $f_{\mathrm{pyr}(P)}=(1+x) f_{P}(x)+1$. We assume that $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{e}$ and for the construction of $P$ we embed it into $x_{e+1}=0$. Recall that a face of a polytope is the convex hull of the vertices of $P$ it contains. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the vertex added to obtain $\operatorname{pyr}(P)$ and let $F$ be a face of $\operatorname{pyr}(P)$. We study two cases:

- If $\mathbf{v} \notin F$ we claim that $F$ is a face of $P$. All the vertices of $F$ lie in $P$. Let $c$ be a functional that is maximized by $F$. Every point on $P$ has it's last coordinate equal to zero, so we can omit the last coordinate of $c$ and view it as a functional in $\mathbb{R}^{e}$ acting on $P$. This shows that $F$ must be a face of $P$ since the values of $c$ and the new functional coincide in all of $P$.
- If $\mathbf{v} \in F$ we claim that the convex hull of the vertices of $F$ different from $\mathbf{v}$ are a face of $P$. We can assume that $\mathbf{v} \in$ spane $_{e+1}$ by translating the whole $\mathbb{R}^{e-1}$ (this gives an isometry, thus the combinatorial structure of $P$ does not change, because the geometry of the figure does not change at all). Now let $\mathbf{c}$ be vector such that $c \cdot$ is maximized by $F$. Write $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime}+\mathbf{c}^{\perp}$, where $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ is in $x_{e+1}=0$ and $\mathbf{c}^{\perp} \in \operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{e}_{e+1}\right)$. Then for $\mathbf{u}$ a vertex in $P$ we have that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{c}^{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v}$. Thus $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ maximizes exactly the vertices of $F$ in $P$ and we can view it as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{e}$ thus if we take the vertices of $F$ that are different from $\mathbf{v}$, because $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ maximizes them.

We showed that a face of $\operatorname{pyr}(P)$ is either a face of $P$ or a pyramid of a face of $P$. The dimension of a face increases by one if we take the pyramid. Thus - if $d \geq 1$ - the $d$-dimensional faces of $\operatorname{pyr}(P)$ are given by $f_{d}+f_{d-1}$ where $f_{k}$ denotes the $k$ dimensional faces of $P$. Since we added exactly one vertex we get that $f_{\mathrm{pyr}(P)}(x)=$ $1+f_{P}(x)+x f_{P}(x)=f_{P}(x)(x+1)+1$.
(b) $f_{P \times Q}(x)=f_{P}(x) f_{Q}(x)$. We claim that $F$ is a face of $P \times Q$ if and only if $F$ is of the form $G \times H$ where $G$ is a face of $P$ and $H$ is a face of $Q$. If this is true then we are done, because the dimension of a product is the sum of the dimensions. Thus the answer follows immediately if we prove that the classification is well done.
If $c$ is a linear functional in the space of $P \times Q$, then we can view it as $\left(c_{p}, c_{q}\right)$ where $c_{p}$ is in the space of $P$ and $c_{q}$ is in the space of $Q$. Thus the elements that maximize $c$ y $P \times Q$ come from some element that maximizes $c_{p}$ in the first coordinate and some element that maximizes $c_{q}$ in $Q$, that is, the face is the product of two faces.
If $G$ and $H$ are faces of $P$ and $Q$ respectively let $c_{G}$ and $c_{H}$ be functionals maximized by $G$ and $H$ respectively. Then $\left(c_{G}, c_{H}\right)$ is maximized by $G \times H$, since the coordinates in $c_{G}$ only act on the part that comes from $G$ and the same for $H$ (it is a direct dot product).

