5. (a) Lemma 1: Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a d dimensional polytope with vertices $v_{1},, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}$. A point $x \in P$ is interior if and only if cone $\left\{v_{i}-x\right\}=\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Proof: We may assume that $x=0$ adding $-x$ to $P$.
$\Rightarrow)$ Assume first that 0 is interior. Then there is an open ball $B_{r}(0)$ that is completely contained in $P$. Now let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $k$ be a positive real number such that $\|k v\|<r$. Then $k v \in B_{r}(0) \subset P$, so $k v=\sum \lambda_{i} v_{i}$ for some non negative lambda such that $\sum \lambda_{i}=1$. It follows that $v=\sum k^{-1} \lambda_{i} v_{i}$ and we are done since $k^{-1} \lambda_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i$.
$\Leftrightarrow)$ Assume that $x$ is not an interior point. Then $x$ is satisfies an equality of the $\mathcal{H}$ Polytope equations (otherwise it is in the intersection of the open half planes). Take a normal vector $v$ to hyperplane at 0 is in, that satisfies the opposite inequality. All the
positive multiples of $v$ do not belong $P$, because the intersection of $\operatorname{span}\{v\}$ and the hyperplane is unique and 0 is in the intersection. Thus it cannot happen that $v \in \operatorname{cone}\left\{v_{i}\right\}$, because if $\sum \lambda_{i} v_{i}=v$, with $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$, then we can divide by the sum of the $\lambda_{i}$ and get a positive multiple of $v$ that is a convex combination of $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ and is therefore in $P$. It follows that cone $\left\{v_{i}\right\} \neq \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Lemma 2: Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a finite set of vectors that contains a basis $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{d}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and such that $-\sum b_{i} \in \operatorname{cone}(B)$. Then $\operatorname{cone}(B)=\mathbb{R}^{d}$
Proof: Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Since $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is a basis there are real numbers $r_{i}$ such that $y=\sum r_{i} b_{i}$. If $r_{i}$ is non negative for all $i$ then $y \in \operatorname{cone}\left\{b_{i}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(B)$. If not assume without loss of generality that $r_{1}$ is the smallest coefficient (thus $r_{1}<0$ ). Then we can write

$$
y=\left|r_{1}\right|\left(-\sum b_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{d}\left(r_{i}+\left|r_{1}\right|\right) b_{i}
$$

This finishes the problem because $r_{i}+\left|r_{1}\right| \geq r_{1}+\left|r_{1}\right|=0$ and the postive combinations of elements of the cone is in the cone.

We proceed to show the interior Cartheodory theorem. We can assume again that the interior point we are taking is equal to 0 , translating $P$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}$ be the vertices of $P$. The fact that 0 is interior yields that cone $\left\{v_{i}\right\}=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ by lemma 1 . Now the set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ contains a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, because $\mathbb{R}=\operatorname{cone}\left\{v_{i}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{span} v_{i}$. Assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{B}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{d}\right\}$ is a basis. Now consider the vector $-\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_{i}$. We know that it is contained in cone $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{t}$, so by Caratheodory for cones, there is a subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=i}^{t}$, such that $|\mathcal{A}| \leq d$ and $-\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_{i} \in \operatorname{cone}(\mathcal{A})$. Take $B=\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{A}$ and note that $B$ satisfies the conditions of lemma 2 taking $\mathcal{B}$ as the ground basis. Then $\operatorname{cone}(B)=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Thus by lemma 10 is an interior point of $B$. Now $|B| \leq|\mathcal{A}|+|\mathcal{B}| \leq d+d=2 d$, so we proved what we wanted.
(b) Take the cross polytope, that is $P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{ \pm e_{i}\right\}$, where $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{d}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Thes polyhedron has $2 d$ vertices. and contains the origin in it's interior. If we remove some vertex $v$ thenthe intersection of the half plane $v \cdot x>0$ and the new polyhedron $P^{\prime}$ is empty (this is obvious, because $v= \pm e_{i}$ for some $i$ and it is the only vector having that sign on coordinate $i$ ). Now 0 is a limit point of $v \cdot x>0$ (put a sequence that converges to 0 and has the same sign as $(v)_{i}$ in the $i$-th coordinate), thus 0 can't be an interior point of $P^{\prime}$. It follows that $2 d$ can't be reduced.
(c) I claim that the only polytopes $P$ for which $2 d$ is the lowest bound are the crossed polytopes with concurrent diagonals, and the only point that fails is the intersection of the diagonals. This cases can't be lowered and the proof is the same as in (b) by making a change of basis (the negative vectors of the basis may be multiplied by a positive scalar).
To prove the result note that $-\sum b_{i}$ of lemma 2 can be replaced by any point of the form $u=-\sum \lambda_{i} b_{i}$ where $\lambda_{i}>0$ for all $i$ (the proof is the same, take a large enough positive multiple of $u$ and fix the coefficients with the basis). Assume that $P$ is a polytope for which the $2 d$ can't be reduced for the point $x$. We may translate $P$ and assume that $x=0$. Take a basis of vectors with vertices in $P$, and pick a set $\mathcal{A}$ as in (a). Then
it must be that $|\mathcal{A}|=d$ also $\mathcal{A}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}$ because otherwise it lies in a $d-1$ dimensional subspace and by caratheodory we can choose $d-1$ of those vectors that contain $u_{B}=-\sum b_{i}$. Note that the coefficients of $U_{B}$ in the cone are all positive or we can remove the vector with zero coefficient. The same happens with any point in the interior of cone $(-\mathcal{B})$ by the extension of the lemma 2 . Thus cone $(-\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \operatorname{cone}(\mathcal{A})$. Now we can reverse the roles of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, in the previous argument and we get that $\operatorname{cone}(-\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \operatorname{cone} \mathcal{B}$. But cone $(-A)=-\operatorname{cone}(A)$ for any finite set of vectors $A$, thus we conclude that $\operatorname{cone}(\mathcal{A})=\operatorname{cone}(-\mathcal{B})$. Now we claim that $\mathcal{A}$ is the same as $-\mathcal{B}$ up to multiplication by positive scalars. If not, then some $a \in A$ is equal to $\sum \lambda_{i} b_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i} \leq 0$ and two non zero coefficients. Assume WLOG that they are $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. Then there are vectors $u_{3}, u_{4}, \ldots, u_{d}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that the coefficient of $b_{i}$ is negative for $u_{i}$ but then, $a+\sum u_{i}$ is a positive combination of $-\mathcal{B}$ thus we could reduce one vector.
Now it remains to show that there are no more vertices in $P$. If there is another vertex $v$ it can't be in cone $(\mathcal{B})$ neither in $\operatorname{cone}(-\mathcal{B})$ (otherwise we reduce, because a line contains at most two vertices, so it is not a multiple of an element of the basis). Thus $v=\sum a_{i} b_{i}$ where $\min a_{i}<0<\max a_{i}$. Assume WLOG that $a_{1}<0<a_{2}$. Then cone $(((\mathcal{A} \cup$ $\left.\left.\mathcal{B}) \backslash\left\{b_{2}, a_{1} b_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\{v\}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ where $a_{1} b_{1}$ is the multiple of $b_{1}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, because we can multiply $v$ by a large enough constant and fix the coefficients with the rest of the basis. We are done.

