

In fact, we might want the R -linear relations between elts of R^n , not just R ("linear algebra over R ")

$$a \begin{bmatrix} y^3 - x^2y \\ x^3 - xy^2 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -xy - y^2 \\ x^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Def.

An R -module M is "a vector space over R ":

- an abelian group M , and
- an action $R \times M \rightarrow M$ of R on M such that
 - $(rt)m = rm + tm$
 - $(rs)m = r(sm)$
 - $r(mn) = rm + rn$
 - $1m = m$

Ex:

- $R = \mathbb{F}$ (field) $M = \mathbb{F}$ -vector space
- $R = \text{ring}$ $M = R^n$
- $R = \text{ring}$ $M = I$ ideal $r \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} rr_1 \\ \vdots \\ rr_n \end{bmatrix}$
- $R = \text{ring}$ $M = R/I$ $r \cdot i \in I$ $r(s+I) = rs+I$

A subset $A \subset M$ generates M as an R -module if

$$M = \{r_1a_1 + \dots + r_k a_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_k \in R, a_1, \dots, a_k \in A\}$$

Ex: $R = \mathbb{F}[x, y]$ $M = \{\text{polys. of degree } \geq 2\}$

Then $\{x^3, xy, y^2\}$ generates M . (Exercise)

Our fields: (Linear Algebra)

- Every \mathbb{F} -vector space is $\cong \mathbb{F}^E$
- Every min. generating set (basis) has the same size $|E|$.
- The elts of a basis have no rel. between them.

Our modules: Not true!

In example above:

- $\{x^2, xy, y^2\}$ is a min. gen. set, but
 $y(x^2) - x(xy) = 0, y(xy) - x(y^2) = 0$.
- $M \not\cong R^3$
- The min. gen. sets don't need to have the same size if R is complicated enough. (Doesn't satisfy the "Invariant basis number" condition.)

An R -module M is free if it has a free basis E :

◦ E generates M

◦ E satisfies no relation, $r_1e_1 + \dots + r_ne_n = 0 \quad \begin{matrix} r_i \in R \\ e_i \in E \end{matrix}$

$$\text{So } M \cong R^E = \bigoplus_{e \in E} Re$$

We would like to also study relations over modules (such as R^n) - so we develop Gröbner bases over free modules.

Gröbner bases over free modules

$$R = \text{IF}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

$F = R^n = R\epsilon_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R\epsilon_n$ free R -module.

monomial: $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} \epsilon_i = x^a \epsilon_i = m \epsilon_i$

term: $a x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} \epsilon_i \quad (a \in \text{IF})$

Monomial order: a total order on the monomials of F s.t.

$$\circ m_i \epsilon_i > m_j \epsilon_j \Rightarrow m m_i \epsilon_i > m m_j \epsilon_j$$

$$\circ m \epsilon_i > \epsilon_i$$

(Simplest: $\square \epsilon_1 > \square \epsilon_2 > \dots > \square \epsilon_n$

within each \square use some monomial order on R)

A Gröbner basis for a submodule $M \subset F$

is a set $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ of M such that

$\text{in}(M)$ is generated by $\text{in}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}(g_m)$.

long division: If $f, g_1, \dots, g_t \in F$ one can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i + r \quad a_i \in R \quad r \in F$$

$$\text{where } \circ \text{in}(f) \geq \text{in}(a_i g_i)$$

no monomial of r is in $(\text{in}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}(g_t))$

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \begin{cases} m_{ij} g_i - m_{ji} g_j & \text{if } \text{in}(g_i), \text{in}(g_j) \text{ involve the same } \epsilon_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Buchberger's criterion and algorithm still hold.

Suppose g_1, \dots, g_m are a Gröbner basis. Their syzgy module is

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^m \mid a_1 g_1 + \dots + a_m g_m = 0\} \subset R^m$$

It is the kernel of

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: R^m &\rightarrow M & R^m &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R \epsilon_i \\ \epsilon_i &\mapsto g_i & & \end{aligned}$$

When $S(g_i, g_j) = m_{ji} g_i - m_{ij} g_j = \sum f_{ij}^{(ij)} g_i$, let

$$T_{ij} = m_{ji} \epsilon_i - m_{ij} \epsilon_j - \sum f_{ij}^{(ij)} \epsilon_i$$

Theorem: Suppose $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is a Gröbner basis with respect to the order $<$ on F . Then

$\{T_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}$ generate the syzygy module.

Furthermore, they are a Gröbner basis for the syzygy module wrt the order:

$$m \epsilon_i > n \epsilon_j \iff \circ \text{in}(m g_i) > \circ \text{in}(n g_j) \text{ in } F, \text{ or}$$

$$\circ \text{in}(m g_i) = \circ \text{in}(n g_j) \text{ (up to a scalar) and } i < j.$$

$$\text{In ex } g_1 = x^2, g_2 = xy + y^2, g_3 = y^3 \quad \text{lex, } x > y$$

$$T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -xy \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y^3 \\ x^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -x-y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{in } T_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{in } T_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y^3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{in } T_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$