

Prop If  $\delta \geq \beta$  in the root poset,

$$\delta = \sum c_i \alpha_i$$

$$\beta = \sum b_i \alpha_i$$

then each  $c_i \geq b_i$

(Not necessary:  $101 \nless 211$  in  $\Delta = \tilde{A}_2$ )

Pf Enough for  $\begin{matrix} \delta \\ \circ \\ \beta \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix}$   $\delta = \beta - 2 \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i$   
Need  $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle < 0$

We have  $\delta = s_i \beta$   $dp \delta = dp \beta + 1$   
 $t_\beta = s_i t_\beta s_i$   $l(t_\beta) = l(t_\beta) + 2$

So  $l(s_i t_\beta s_i) > l(t_\beta) \rightarrow (s_i t_\beta) \alpha_i > 0$   
 $l(t_\beta s_i) > l(t_\beta) \rightarrow t_\beta \alpha_i > 0$

Now  $t_\beta \alpha_i = \alpha_i - 2 \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle \beta > 0$

This is positive. Now

- if  $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$  then  $t_\beta \alpha_i = \alpha_i$   
 $s_i t_\beta \alpha_i = -\alpha_i < 0$

- if  $\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle > 0$  then  $\beta$  must be a  
multiple of  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \beta = \alpha_i \rightarrow t_\beta \alpha_i = s_i \alpha_i < 0$

So coeffs. increase as we go up the poset

## Small roots

Each edge is  $\begin{matrix} \delta \\ \circ \\ \beta \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \circ \\ \circ \\ \circ \end{matrix}$   $\delta = \beta + c \alpha_i$   $c > 0$

Call the edge short if  $c < 2$ .

$$|\langle \delta, \alpha_i \rangle| = |\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle| < 1$$

In  $S_n$ , all are short.

In  $\tilde{A}_2$ , have short and long.

Def A small root is one reachable from the bottom of the root poset by going up small edges.

Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^+$

Say  $\beta$  dominates  $\gamma$  ( $\beta \text{ dom } \gamma$ ) if

$$w \cdot \beta < 0 \Rightarrow w \cdot \gamma < 0$$

Theorem A positive root is small if and only if it dominates no other root

To prove this we need some lemmas.

Lemma.  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  dominates  $\alpha_i \Leftrightarrow \langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 1$

Pf Lee book, lemma 4.7.4

Lemma.  $\alpha \overset{0}{\downarrow} \text{long} \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ dominates someone}$   
 $\beta \overset{0}{\downarrow} \text{short} \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ dominates someone}$   
 $\beta \overset{0}{\downarrow} \text{short} \Rightarrow \beta \text{ dominates someone}$

Pf

(a)  $\alpha = s\beta \xrightarrow{\text{long}} \langle \alpha, \alpha_s \rangle \geq 1 \rightarrow \alpha \text{ dom } \alpha_s$

(b)  $\alpha = s\beta \xrightarrow{\text{short}} 0 > \langle \beta, \alpha_s \rangle > -1$

o If  $\beta \text{ dom } \gamma$  then  $\gamma \neq \alpha_s$   
 so  $s\beta \text{ dom } s\gamma$ . (Return similarly)  $\square$   
 $\alpha > 0$

Pf of Theorem

o If  $\alpha$  is short, take a path of short roots to  $\alpha_i$ , which dominates none  $\checkmark$

o If  $\alpha$  dominates none, take a path to a simple root. Inductively, each edge must be short.  $\square$

