

Borel-fixed monomial ideals

A very nice family of (non-squarefree) monomial ideals. Δ Assume $\text{char } F = 0$.

- $GL_n(F) = \{\text{invertible } n \times n \text{ matrices}\}$ general linear group
- \cup
- $B_n(F) = \{\text{upper triangular matrices}\}$ Borel subgroup
- \cup
- $T_n(F) = \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$ algebraic torus group

$GL_n(F)$ acts on $R = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by "change of basis":

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{ij} \end{bmatrix} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p\left(\sum_i g_{1i} x_i, \dots, \sum_i g_{ni} x_i\right)$$

(So $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} (x^2 + y^2 - 1) = (x+2y)^2 + (x-y)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 + 2xy + 5y^2 - 1$.)

Who is fixed under T ? B ?

Prop An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is fixed under T
 \Leftrightarrow It is a monomial ideal

$T I \subseteq I$ ✓
 $T^{-1} I \subseteq I$?

PF \Leftarrow $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} (x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}) = (b_1 x_1)^{a_1} \dots (b_n x_n)^{a_n} \in I$

\uparrow \cap
 I

\Rightarrow See [MS].

5)

Prop An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is fixed under GL_n .



$I = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^d$ for some d

Prop An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is fixed under B .



◦ I is monomial, and

◦ If $m \in I$, $x_j | m$, $i < j$, then $m \frac{x_i}{x_j} \in I$.

"Borel-fixed monomial ideals"

\Rightarrow Since $T \subset B$, B -fixed $\Rightarrow T$ -fixed \Rightarrow monomial

◦ $\begin{matrix} \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{matrix} x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} = x_1^{a_1} \dots (x_i + x_j)^{a_i} \dots x_n^{a_n} \in I$
 $m \in I = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_i^{a_i} \dots x_n^{a_n} + a_i x_1^{a_1} \dots (x_i^{a_i-1} x_j) \dots x_n^{a_n} + \dots \leftarrow m \frac{x_i}{x_j}$

But I is monomial so $m \frac{x_i}{x_j} \in I$.

\Leftarrow Easy - see [MS]. \square

Note To check whether I is Borel-fixed, it is enough to check condition when m is a generator.

Ex: $\langle x_1 x_2, x_2^3, x_1 x_3^3 \rangle$ no. $x_1 x_2 \rightarrow x_1 x_3$

$\langle x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^3, x_1 x_3^3 \rangle$ yes.

They occur very naturally as follows:

Fix $I \subset R$

$<$ monomial order

\Rightarrow Get $\text{in}_<(I)$.

But this may be the "wrong" answer in this sense:

We could perform a change of variables $g \in GL_n$ and compute $\text{in}_<(g \cdot I)$.

Prop Most choices of $g \in GL_n$ give the same $\text{in}_<(g \cdot I)$, called $\text{gin}_<(I)$.

"Most": In a "Zariski open set" of GL_n :

For all g not satisfying certain polynomial equations.

Ex. $I = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2 \rangle$

$<$: lex with $x_1 > x_2$

Then: $\text{in}_<(I) = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2 \rangle$

$\text{in}_<\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} I = \langle x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^3 \rangle$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} I = \langle (x_1 + x_2)^2, (x_1 - x_2)^2 \rangle = \langle x_1^2 + x_2^2, x_1 x_2 \rangle$

Fact: $\text{in}_<\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} I = \langle x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^3 \rangle$ unless $ac = 0$.

So

$\text{gin}_<(I) = \langle x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^3 \rangle$

Theorem (Galligo, Bayer, Stillman)

$\text{gin}_<(I)$ is Borel-fixed.