

5. For all integers $n \geq 1$, let $R_n = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and define $R_\infty = \mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$. Let $I_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, the ideal generated in R_n .

Now, for $m \geq 1$ (we only use the case $m = 1$ anyway) consider the shift function,

$$f^{(m)}: \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\} \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$$

$$x_i \rightarrow x_{i+m}$$

This function extends to a monomorphism of rings $F^{(m)}: R_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$, where $F^{(m)}(1) = 1$ necessarily.

Suppose we have a $l \times k$ matrix M with entries in R_∞ and define a new $l \times k$ matrix $M^{(m)}$, given by $M_{ij}^{(m)} = F^{(m)}(M_{ij})$ for all i and j . If we consider a vector $v \in R_\infty^k$, then $Mv = 0$ iff $M^{(m)}v^{(m)} = 0$ and this is true for all $m \geq 1$.

We will find a finite free resolution for our module inductively. For all $n \geq 1$, define the matrices

$$M_{(1)}^{(n)} = (x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad \dots \quad x_n) \quad (1.a)$$

and

$$M_{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ -x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n x_2 \\ (-1)^{n-1} x_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.b)$$

For $n > 1$, suppose we know a resolution for R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} of the form,

$$0 \rightarrow R_{n-1}^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{M_{(n-1)}} R_{n-1}^{(n-2)} \xrightarrow{M_{(n-2)}} \dots \xrightarrow{M_{(2)}} R_{n-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{M_{(1)}} R_{n-1}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{n-1}} R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

where, for all $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, the matrix $M_{(m)}^{(n-1)}$ with entries in R_{n-1} induces the homomorphism-denoted by φ_m^{n-1} -from $R_{n-1}^{(m)}$ to $R_{n-1}^{(m-1)}$ and ϵ_{n-1} is the canonical homomorphism from R_{n-1} to R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} . The map φ_m^{n-1} is actually an epimorphism to the kernel of φ_{m-1}^{n-1} whenever $m > 1$. Also, we know-just by looking at the matrices-that $\ker(\varphi_{n-1}^{n-1}) = \{0\}$ and that $\text{Im}(\varphi_1^{n-1}) = I_{n-1}$, the Kernel of the surjective map ϵ_{n-1} . We already know a resolution of this form for R_3/I_3 (obtained in class), but we will later compute a bigger case from it. We want to produce a similar resolution for R_n/I_n .

To start, for $1 < m < n$ define the matrix,

$$M_{(m)}^{(n)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_{(m-1)}^{(1)} & 0 \\ \hline (-1)^{m-1} x_1 I & M_{(m)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right) \quad (2)$$

We claim that the sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow R_n^{(n)} \xrightarrow{M_{(n)}^{(n)}} R_n^{(n-1)} \xrightarrow{M_{(n-1)}^{(n)}} \dots \xrightarrow{M_{(2)}^{(n)}} R_n^{(1)} \xrightarrow{M_{(1)}^{(n)}} R_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_n} R_n/I_n \rightarrow 0$$

is a finite free resolution of R_n/I_n . Clearly, the map φ_1^n induced by $M_{(1)}^{(n)}$ has image equal to I_n (which is the Kernel of the surjective map ϵ_n) and $\text{Ker}(\varphi_n^n) = \{0\}$. Now, for $1 < m < n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{(m)}^{(n)}M_{(m+1)}^{(n)} &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{(m-1)}^{(1)} & 0 \\ \hline (-1)^{m-1}x_1I & M_{(m)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{(m)}^{(1)} & 0 \\ \hline (-1)^m x_1I & M_{(m+1)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_{(m-1)}^{(1)}M_{(m)}^{(1)} & M_{(m-1)}^{(1)}0 \\ \hline (-1)^{m-1}x_1(I-I) & M_{(m)}^{(1)}M_{(m+1)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

by our induction hypothesis. Note that we have used the argument: $M_{(m-1)}^{(n-1)}M_{(m)}^{(n-1)} = 0$ implies $M_{(m-1)}^{(1)}M_{(m)}^{(1)} = 0$, a consequence of $F^{(1)}$ being a monomorphism of rings. So indeed, $\text{Im}(\varphi_{m+1}^n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi_m^n)$ for all such m . Also, if we let v_j be the j -th column of $M_{(2)}^{(n-1)}$, then using again the resolution for R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} we compute,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{(1)}^{(n)}M_{(2)}^{(n)} &= (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ \dots \ x_n) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} x_2 \ \dots \ x_n & 0 \\ \hline -x_1I & M_{(2)}^{(1)} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (x_1x_2 - x_2x_1, x_1x_3 - x_3x_1, \dots, x_1x_n - x_nx_1, M_{(1)}^{(n-1)}v_1, \dots, M_{(1)}^{(n-1)}v_{n-1}) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

so $\text{Im}(\varphi_2^n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi_1^n)$. To study the remaining case, let u_i be the i -th row of $M_{(n-2)}^{(1)}$. We have,

$$M_{(n-1)}^{(n)} M_{(n)}^{(1)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_{(n-2)}^{(1)} & 0 \\ \hline (-1)^n x_1 I & \begin{matrix} x_n \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n x_2 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ -x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n x_2 \\ (-1)^{n-1} x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -u_1 M_{(n-1)}^{(1)} \\ -u_2 M_{(n-1)}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-1} (-x_1 x_n + x_n x_1) \\ \vdots \\ x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where we have used once again the knowledge of the previous resolution for R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} . That is, $\text{Im}(\varphi_n^n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi_{n-1}^n)$.

The homomorphism properties of the maps φ_m for all $1 \leq m \leq n$ are immediate consequences of how they are obtained. Therefore, we need only justify why there's no strict containment in $\text{Im}(\varphi_{m+1}^n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi_m^n)$ for all $1 \leq m < n$ and we will be done. That $\text{Im}(\varphi_2^n) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_1^n)$ is a direct consequence of Schreyer's Theorem: The columns of matrix $M_{(2)}^{(n)}$ are simply the direct result of computing all the $S(x_i, x_j)$ with $i < j$ for the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, which is a Gröbner basis for I_n , so they generate the module of syzygies of I_n and this is exactly $\text{Ker}(\varphi_1^n)$. Now, for $1 < m < n - 1$, suppose we have a vector $v \in R_n^{(m)}$ such that $M_{(m)}^{(n)} v = 0$. Writing $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ appropriately, this is equivalent to $M_{(m-1)}^{(1)} v_1 = 0$ and $(-1)^{m-1} x_1 v_1 + M_{(m)}^{(1)} v_2 = 0$. We may write the first of these equations as

$\sum_{i=0}^k x_1^i M_{\binom{n-1}{m-1}}^{(1)} v_{1i}^{(1)} = 0$ for some k , where $\sum_{i=0}^k x_1^i v_{1i}^{(1)} = v_1$ and $v_{1i}^{(1)}$ has entries in $F^{(1)}(R_\infty)$ for each i . More precisely, $v_{1i}^{(1)}$ is the vector with entries in the ring of polynomials in the variables x_2, \dots, x_n associated via $F^{(1)}$ to a vector v_{1i} , whose entries are in R_{n-1} , as explained in the first part. But then we must have, for all i , $M_{\binom{n-1}{m-1}}^{(1)} v_{1i}^{(1)} = \left(M_{\binom{n-1}{m-1}} v_{1i} \right)^{(1)} = 0$, and this is true iff $M_{\binom{n-1}{m-1}} v_{1i} = 0$, so using the resolution for R_{n-1}/I_{n-1} we obtain $v_{1i} = M_{\binom{n-1}{m}}^{(1)} u_{1i}$ for some u_{1i} with entries in R_{n-1} and then $v_{1i}^{(1)} = M_{\binom{n-1}{m}}^{(1)} u_{1i}^{(1)}$. Finally, we can write $v_1 = \sum_{i=0}^k x_1^i M_{\binom{n-1}{m}}^{(1)} u_{1i}^{(1)} = M_{\binom{n-1}{m}}^{(1)} u_1$, u_1 having entries in R_n . Replacing this in the second equation we get $M_{\binom{n-1}{m}}^{(1)} ((-1)^{m-1} x_1 u_1 + v_2) = 0$, and we use an analogous procedure to conclude that $(-1)^{m-1} x_1 u_1 + v_2 = M_{\binom{n-1}{m+1}}^{(1)} u_2$ for some vector u_2 with entries in R_n . Rearranging this equation, we get $v_2 = \left((-1)^m x_1 I \left| M_{\binom{n-1}{m+1}}^{(1)} \right. \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left((-1)^m x_1 I \left| M_{\binom{n-1}{m+1}}^{(1)} \right. \right) u$, where the vector u has just been defined, so (somehow amazingly) $v = M_{\binom{n}{m+1}}^{(1)} u$, $v \in \text{Im}(\varphi_{m+1}^n)$ and we have the equality $\text{Im}(\varphi_{m+1}^n) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_m^n)$ for all such m . The remaining case, where we want to prove $\text{Im}(\varphi_n^n) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_{n-1}^n)$, can be established in very similar way, so our sequence is exact and it is a finite free resolution for R_n/I_n .

We know a finite free resolution like this for $n = 3$. It is given, from right to

left by $0, \epsilon_3, (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3), \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and 0 . Suppose we

wanted to compute the resolution for $n = 4$. The first thing to do would be computing the matrices $M_{\binom{4}{1}}^{(4)}, M_{\binom{4}{2}}^{(4)}, M_{\binom{4}{3}}^{(4)}$ and $M_{\binom{4}{4}}^{(4)}$. Two of them we already know ($M_{\binom{4}{1}}^{(4)}$ and $M_{\binom{4}{4}}^{(4)}$) from Definition (2), to do the rest we need to find first $M_{\binom{4}{3}}^{(1)}, M_{\binom{4}{2}}^{(1)}, M_{\binom{4}{3}}^{(1)}$. We do this directly,

$$M_{(3)}^{(1)} = (x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4)$$

$$M_{(3)}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & -x_2 & -x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{(3)}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_4 \\ -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

But then, using Definition (2) (in red) before,

$$M_{(2)}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & -x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and also,

$$M_{(3)}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

This allows to complete the resolution for R_4/I_4 . Using the pattern arising, one may compute much bigger cases easily. For example, $M_{(4)}^{(6)}$ has the form shown in the next page (empty spaces are 0's).

4	5	6												
-3			5	6										
	-3		-4		6									
		-3		-4	-5									
2						5	6							
	2					-2		6						
		2					-2	-5						
			2			3			6					
				2			3		-5					
					2			3	4					
-1										5	6			
	-1									-4		6		
		-1									-4	-5		
			-1							3			6	
				-1							3		-5	
					-1							3	4	
						-1				-2				6
							-1				-2			-5
								-1				-2		4
									-1				-2	-3